

280 B.R. 722
United States Bankruptcy Court,
S.D. Alabama.

In re Catherine D. SLICK, Debtor.
Catherine D. Slick, Plaintiff,
v.
Norwest Mortgage, Inc., Defendant.

Bankruptcy No. 98-14378-MAM.
|
Adversary No. 99-1136.
|
June 5, 2001.

Synopsis

Matter came before court for entry of order defining the class certified. The Bankruptcy Court, [Margaret A. Mahoney](#), Chief Judge, held that court would certify broad plaintiff class consisting of all debtors who had filed Chapter 13 petitions after specific date, and in whose cases creditor, without filing fee application or making adequate disclosure, had asserted claims for postpetition, preconfirmation fees.

So ordered.

West Headnotes (1)

[1] [Bankruptcy](#) [Parties](#)

Bankruptcy court would certify broad plaintiff class consisting of all debtors who had filed Chapter 13 petitions after specific date, and in whose cases creditor, without filing specific fee application which was approved by bankruptcy court, had sought to recover postpetition, preconfirmation fees by including such fees, with no or insufficient disclosure, in proofs of claim filed against debtors' estates; any narrowing of class would have to await trial on merits.

[4 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

Attorneys and Law Firms

*723 Steve Olen and [Steven L. Nicholas](#), Mobile, AL, [Donald J. Stewart](#), Mobile, AL, for plaintiffs.

[Henry A. Callaway, III](#) and [Windy C. Bitzer](#), Mobile, AL, for defendants.

ORDER DEFINING CLASS TO BE CERTIFIED IN CASE
[MARGARET A. MAHONEY](#), Chief Judge.

This matter is before the Court on the issue of the class to be certified in this case. The Court has jurisdiction to hear this matter pursuant to  [28 U.S.C. §§ 1334](#) and  [157](#) and the Order of Reference of the District Court. This is a core proceeding pursuant to  [28 U.S.C. § 157\(b\)](#) and the Court has the authority to enter a final order.

After reviewing the submissions of the parties, the Court concludes that a broad definition is appropriate. Until the actual trial of the class action case on the merits, it is inappropriate to narrowly define who is a member of the class. Less harm results from a broad definition, particularly in light of the fact the class was constituted under Rule 23(b)(2) and no notice to class members will be given at this time. The trial will determine who actually will be entitled to injunctive relief or damages. Since sufficiency of disclosure is at issue, the Court will not declare now that the date for the inclusion in the class is later than January 1, 1994. The Manual for Complex Litigation (3d), published by the Federal Judicial Center for judges states:

It is ... necessary to arrive at a definition that is precise, objective, and presently ascertainable.... [G]reater precision is required in (b) (3) actions than in those brought under ... (b)(2). Definitions ... should avoid criteria that are subjective.... The judge should consider whether the definition will serve the purpose for which the class is certified (i.e., the resolution of common questions of fact and law in a single proceeding). The definition should not, therefore,

exclude a substantial number of persons which claims similar to those of persons included in the class.

Manual for Complex Litigation (3d) (Fed. Judicial Center, ed., 1995) at 30.14. The definition chosen will accomplish the goals stated above.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the class in this case shall be defined as follows:

Class definition: All bankruptcy debtors who have filed a Chapter 13 petition on or after January 1, 1994(1) who had

proofs of claim filed in their cases by the Defendant which (a) did not disclose postpetition/preconfirmation fees at all, (b) did not disclose them with sufficient specificity, or (c) did *724 not include these fees in the arrearage claims; (2) who had these fees collected or posted to their accounts in some way by the Defendant after filing bankruptcy; and (3) in whose cases the Defendant did not file a specific application for these fees which was approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court.

All Citations

280 B.R. 722

End of Document

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.